

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,348	07/14/2003	Daoqiang Lu	Intel/16653	6350	
34431 75	590 03/30/2006		EXAMINER		
HANLEY, FLIGHT & ZIMMERMAN, LLC			SMITH, BRADLEY		
20 N. WACKE SUITE 4220	R DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606			2891		
			DATE MAILED: 03/30/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/619,348	LU, DAOQIANG			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Bradley K. Smith	2891			

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Bradley K. Smith	2891					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) In the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no							
b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must l</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		jected claims.					
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <del>1-3,5-13,17</del> . l - l 7, a - l 25 / 2 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	3KS 3/2 7/06						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		,					
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	$\nearrow$				
13.		25 //N					
	/	Bradley K Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2891	_				
,							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The addition of the limitation of "the spacer being a unitary conductive structure not having a conductive covering" is a limitation that would require further search and consideration.